PETITIONER : Ayodhya Prasad Yadav S/o Goverdhan Pradad Yadav, aged about 26 years, R/o Lowmurha, Tah. Jashpur, P.S.H. Sanna, Distt. Jashpur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through The Chief Secretary
School Education Department
Raipur (C.G.)

2. Chief Executive Officer,
Janpad Panchayat, Manora
Distt. Jashpur (Chhattisgarh)

3. Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat- Gidha
Janpad Panchayat, Manora
Distt. Jashpur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 1466/17
Presented by Shrimati Mishra
dated 1.12.17

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

As above

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 2007 of 2001

Petitioner: Ayodhya Prasad Yadav

Versus

Respondents: State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel lawyer for the Respondent No.1.
Shri Deepak Gupta, Advocate for the Respondent No.2.
Shri Raghavendra Verma, Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

ORAL ORDER

(14th February, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. The petitioner was selected for training as Shiksha Karmi vide order dated 18.2.1997 (Annexure P/1) for undergoing the training in Basic Training Institute, Jashpurngar, District- Raigarh. Thereafter the petitioner was appointed as Shiksha Karmi by Gram Panchayat Geedha, Block – Manora, District- Raigarh vide order dated 1.3.1997 by the Respondent No.3. The petitioner was removed from service on 30.6.1999.
2. Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, learned Panel lawyer appearing for the Respondent No.1, per contra, submits that there is a provision of statutory appeal against the order of Sarpanch as per Clause 5.1.6 of the education guarantee scheme, under Rajiv Gandhi Shiksha Mission.
3. In view of the fact that there is a provision of the statutory appeal, this petition is dismissed with liberty granted to the petitioner to file an appropriate proceedings before the appropriate authority in

accordance with law. In the event, the appropriate proceeding is initiated within a period of two weeks from today, the question of limitation will not come in the way of the petitioner and the appropriate authority will decide the appropriate proceeding within a period of six weeks from the date of filing of the appeal/representation, if any.

4. In view of the foregoing, the petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur